

This Provisional PDF corresponds to the article as it appeared upon acceptance. Fully formatted PDF and full text (HTML) versions will be made available soon.

## **Nutrition and physical activity programs for obesity treatment (PRONAF study). methodological approach of the project**

*BMC Public Health* 2012, **12**:1100 doi:10.1186/1471-2458-12-1100

Augusto G Zapico (azapico@edu.ucm.es)  
Pedro J Benito (pedroj.benito@upm.es)  
Marcela González-Gross (marcela.gonzalez.gross@upm.es)  
Ana B Peinado (anabelen.peinado@upm.es)  
Esther Morencos (esther.morencos@upm.es)  
Blanca Romero (blanca.romero.moraleda@upm.es)  
Miguel A Rojo-Tirado (ma.rojo@upm.es)  
Rocio Cupeiro (rocio.cupeiro@upm.es)  
Barbara Szendrei (barbara.szendrei@upm.es)  
Javier Butragueño (javierbutra@upm.es)  
Maite Bermejo (maite@metabolica.es)  
María Álvarez-Sánchez (alvarez-sanchez@vetphys.uzh.ch)  
Miguel García-Fuentes (pedgfm@humv.es)  
Carmen Gómez-Candela (carmengomez candela@telefonica.net)  
Laura M Bermejo (laura.bermejol@salud.madrid.org)  
Ceila Fernandez-Fernandez (ceila.fernandez@salud.madrid.org)  
Francisco Calderón (franciscojavier.calderon@upm.es)

**ISSN** 1471-2458

**Article type** Study protocol

**Submission date** 3 December 2012

**Acceptance date** 17 December 2012

**Publication date** 21 December 2012

**Article URL** <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2458/12/1100>

Like all articles in BMC journals, this peer-reviewed article can be downloaded, printed and distributed freely for any purposes (see copyright notice below).

Articles in BMC journals are listed in PubMed and archived at PubMed Central.

© 2012 Zapico *et al.*

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

For information about publishing your research in BMC journals or any BioMed Central journal, go to

<http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/authors/>

# **Nutrition and physical activity programs for obesity treatment (PRONAF study). methodological approach of the project**

Augusto G Zapico<sup>1,2,8,\*</sup>  
Email: azapico@edu.ucm.es

Pedro J Benito<sup>1</sup>  
Email: pedroj.benito@upm.es

Marcela González-Gross<sup>1</sup>  
Email: marcela.gonzalez.gross@upm.es

Ana B Peinado<sup>1</sup>  
Email: anabelen.peinado@upm.es

Esther Morencos<sup>1</sup>  
Email: esther.morencos@upm.es

Blanca Romero<sup>1</sup>  
Email: blanca.romero.moraleda@upm.es

Miguel A Rojo-Tirado<sup>1</sup>  
Email: ma.rojo@upm.es

Rocio Cupeiro<sup>1,3</sup>  
Email: rocio.cupeiro@upm.es

Barbara Szendrei<sup>1</sup>  
Email: barbara.szendrei@upm.es

Javier Butragueño<sup>1</sup>  
Email: javierbutra@upm.es

Maite Bermejo<sup>1</sup>  
Email: maite@metabolica.es

María Alvarez-Sánchez<sup>4,5</sup>  
Email: alvarez-sanchez@vetphys.uzh.ch

Miguel García-Fuentes<sup>6</sup>  
Email: pedgfm@humv.es

Carmen Gómez-Candela<sup>7</sup>  
Email: carmengomezcandela@telefonica.net

Laura M Bermejo<sup>7</sup>  
Email: laura.bermejol@salud.madrid.org

Ceila Fernandez-Fernandez<sup>7</sup>  
Email: ceila.fernandez@salud.madrid.org

Francisco Calderón<sup>1</sup>  
Email: franciscojavier.calderon@upm.es

<sup>1</sup> Department of Health and Human Performance, Faculty of Physical Activity and Sport Sciences-INEF, Technical University of Madrid, C/Martín Fierro 7, Madrid 28040, Spain

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physical Education, School of Education, Complutense University of Madrid, C/Rector Royo Villanova sn, Madrid 28040, Spain

<sup>3</sup> Francisco de Vitoria University, Ctra. Pozuelo-Majadahonda Km 1.800, Madrid 28223, Spain

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Veterinary Physiology, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 260, Zurich 8057, Switzerland

<sup>5</sup> Center for Integrative Human Physiology (ZIHP), Winterthurerstrasse 190, Zurich 8057, Switzerland

<sup>6</sup> Department of Medical and Surgery Sciences, School of Medicine-IFIMAV, Marqués de Valdecilla Research Institute, Cantabria University, Avda. Cardenal Herrera Oria sn, Santander 39011, Spain

<sup>7</sup> Nutrition Department, IdiPAZ, University Hospital La Paz Research Institute, Paseo de la Castellana 268, Madrid 28043, Spain

<sup>8</sup> Laboratorio de Fisiología de Esfuerzo, Facultad de Ciencias de la Actividad Física y del Deporte-INEF, C/Martín Fierro 7, Madrid 28040, Spain

\* Corresponding author. Laboratorio de Fisiología de Esfuerzo, Facultad de Ciencias de la Actividad Física y del Deporte-INEF, C/Martín Fierro 7, Madrid 28040, Spain

## **Abstract**

### **Background**

At present, scientific consensus exists on the multifactorial etiopatogenia of obesity. Both professionals and researchers agree that treatment must also have a multifactorial approach, including diet, physical activity, pharmacology and/or surgical treatment. These two last ones should be reserved for those cases of morbid obesities or in case of failure of the previous ones. The aim of the PRONAF study is to determine what type of exercise combined with caloric restriction is the most appropriate to be included in overweight and obesity intervention programs, and the aim of this paper is to describe the design and the evaluation methods used to carry out the PRONAF study.

## Methods/design

One-hundred nineteen overweight (46 males) and 120 obese (61 males) subjects aged 18–50 years were randomly assigned to a strength training group, an endurance training group, a combined strength + endurance training group or a diet and physical activity recommendations group. The intervention period was 22 weeks (in all cases 3 times/wk of training for 22 weeks and 2 weeks for pre and post evaluation). All subjects followed a hypocaloric diet (25–30% less energy intake than the daily energy expenditure estimated by accelerometry). 29–34% of the total energy intake came from fat, 14–20% from protein, and 50–55% from carbohydrates. The mayor outcome variables assesed were, biochemical and inflammatory markers, body composition, energy balance, physical fitness, nutritional habits, genetic profile and quality of life. 180 (75.3%) subjects finished the study, with a dropout rate of 24.7%. Dropout reasons included: personal reasons 17 (28.8%), low adherence to exercise 3 (5.1%), low adherence to diet 6 (10.2%), job change 6 (10.2%), and lost interest 27 (45.8%).

## Discussion

Feasibility of the study has been proven, with a low dropout rate which corresponds to the estimated sample size. Transfer of knowledge is foreseen as a spin-off, in order that overweight and obese subjects can benefit from the results. The aim is to transfer it to sports centres. Effectiveness on individual health-related parameter in order to determine the most effective training programme will be analysed in forthcoming publications.

## Trial registration

ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01116856

## Keywords

Overweight, Obesity, Caloric restriction, Exercise, Weight loss

## Background

The increase in overweight and obesity rates is a major public health concern. In most countries it has affected all population groups regardless of sex, age, race, income or education level, but to varying extents [1]. Based on comparative studies with other European countries, Spain is at the forefront [1], one in four people is overweight or obese, ie 12.5 million people [2]. In fact, two out of three men are overweight and one of every six is obese, with a clear trend to increase in the forthcoming years [3].

Today it is accepted that obesity has a multifactorial origin [4,5] and is a known risk factor for numerous health problems, including high cholesterol, diabetes, hypertension, respiratory problems, musculoskeletal diseases, cardiovascular diseases, and some forms of cancer. Mortality also increases sharply once the overweight threshold is crossed [6,7].

Research has been very active in the last years trying to identify the most effective treatment for adult overweight and obesity [8–40]. A combination of caloric restriction with an increase

in energy output by means of exercise has been proven in the hospital setting [41]. Results have been quite conclusive regarding aerobic exercise [9] and very restrictive caloric intake (less than 50% of kcal intake) [35]. But data are still scarce regarding the effect of a combination of strength and aerobic training and a much lesser restrictive hypocaloric diet on weight loss [35]. The PRONAF study wants to get deeper into the latter, also considering the Consensus Paper 2011 from the Spanish Society of Obesity Research (SEEDO), that has stated that the primary goal of treatment should not focus on achieving the ideal body weight, but to have smaller weight losses maintained in the long term [42].

Therefore, the main aim of the PRONAF study was to discover which is the most effective training protocol in combination with caloric restriction for overweight and obese subjects included in a specific intervention program. The aim of this paper is to describe the design and the evaluation methods used for the PRONAF study.

## **Methods/design**

### **Study design**

PRONAF is a 22 week-intervention study performed among Spanish overweight and obese adults, who were randomly assigned to one of the following intervention groups: strength training group (S), endurance training group (E), combined strength plus endurance training group (SE), and physical activity recommendations group (C), which are described in detail below. The study was performed twice, one year apart, first in the overweight group (year 2009/2010) and then in the obese group (year 2010/2011). In total, we had 8 interventions groups.

### **Population and sample size estimation**

The estimation of the sample size was calculated to detect a main effect of the treatment on the percentage of body fat with a 80% statistical power at 5% significance, assuming a 0.80 correlation between repeated measures [43]. The initial calculated sample size per intervention group ( $n = 22$ ) permits the detection of a large effect size (Cohen's  $d = -0.8$ ), as observed in a previous investigation [43].

The initial adjustment of sample size for dropouts carried out used a maximum of 25% of dropouts in each group [37]. Adjusted dropouts sample =  $n (1/1-R)$ , where  $n$  = number of subjects not lost, and  $R$  = expected proportion for dropouts. With our data, the initial necessary sample size was:  $88 (1 / 1-0.25) = 118$  subjects or 29/30 in each intervention group, this being applicable for both the overweight and the obese interventions groups. A total of two hundred thirty nine people initiated the study, completing it 180 (75.3%), which supposed 59 dropouts for different reasons. For personal reasons 17 (28.8%), low exercise adherence 3 (5.1%), low diet adherence 6 (10.2%), job change 6 (10.2%), and lost interest 27 (45.8%).

### **Recruitment of the subjects**

Subjects were recruited by means of diverse advertisements published in the media and the applicants filtered using different criteria. The voluntary subjects who fulfilled the inclusion

criteria and passed the baseline physical examination were stratified by age ranges and body mass index (BMI), and randomized into the different intervention groups (Figure 1).

**Figure 1 Participant flow diagram.** S, strength training group; E, endurance training group; SE, combined strength plus endurance training group; C, physical activity recommendations group.

## Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria included being male or female living in the Region of Madrid, being overweight (BMI 25–29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) or obese (BMI: 30–34.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), middle-aged (from 18 to 50 years), having a sedentary lifestyles (< 30 min Physical Activity/day), being normoglycaemic, and non-smoker [37,41]. In the case of females, having regular menstrual cycles was required.

The exclusion criteria were suffering from a physical (orthopaedic limitations, stroke, etc.) and/or psychological (anorexia, bulimia, etc.) disease that may have precluded the performance of the requested strength or endurance training, intake of any medication (beta blockers, alcohol, etc.) known to influence physical performance or the interpretation of the results, having a history of systematic strength or endurance training (moderate to high intensity training more than once a week) in the year before the beginning of the study [37,40].

After the intervention period, participants who failed to comply with 90% assistance to the training sessions and less than 80% adherence to the diet were excluded from further analysis. The characteristics of the final sample (completers) are shown in Table 1.

**Table 1 Baseline data (n = 180)**

	Overweight n = 84		Obesity n = 96	
	Women n = 48	Men n = 36	Women n = 48	Men n = 48
Age (years)	37.29 ± 8.25	37.42 ± 8.02	39.02 ± 7.74	38.79 ± 7.99
Body Weight (kg)	73.5 ± 5.87	88.21 ± 8.13	88.33 ± 10.1	102.04 ± 8.94
Height (m)	1.62 ± 0.06	1.75 ± 0.07	1.64 ± 0.07	1.77 ± 0.06
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	28.01 ± 1.32	28.57 ± 1.12	32.41 ± 1.85	32.4 ± 1.9
Body fat (%)	43.28 ± 3.62	33.8 ± 4.63	47.11 ± 3.49	38.17 ± 4.02
Body fat free mass (kg)	40.25 ± 4.3	55.99 ± 5.47	44.3 ± 4.71	58.63 ± 8.96
Bone mineral density (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	1.18 ± 0.1	1.26 ± 0.09	1.21 ± 0.11	1.3 ± 0.1

Results are shown as Mean ± SD.

*Note.* BMI = Body Mass Index. Body fat, Body fat free mass and Bone mineral density calculated by Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA).

## Ethical issues

The protocols and procedures of the PRONAF study were in agreement with the ethical guidelines on biomedical research on human subjects of the World Medical Association's Declaration of Helsinki (1964) and further amendments. Before participating in this research, all subjects were carefully informed about the possible risks and benefits of the project, being required to read and sign an institutionally approved informed consent document. PRONAF

study was approved by the Human Research Review Committee of the University Hospital La Paz (PI-643).

Access to the database was restricted to the researchers that participated in the PRONAF study. Therefore, the data and information obtained in the project was considered as confidential following current Spanish legislation regulating personal data protection (Organic Law 15/1999 and Royal Decree 1720/2007).

This trial was registered at clinical trials.gov as NCT01116856. <http://clinicaltrials.gov/>.

## **Intervention**

### ***Time line intervention***

The assessments took place for all subjects one week before and after 22 weeks of intervention. Additionally, body weight was measured every 15 days, training intensity and physical activity were controlled monthly, and dietary intake was evaluated every three months.

### ***Exercise protocols***

Four different intervention groups were involved in the study as stated above. The S, E and SE groups followed the corresponding training program plus the dietary intervention, and the C group followed the dietary intervention and was instructed about the general recommendations regarding physical activity for weight loss from the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM) [44].

Subjects in the S, E and SE groups performed training 3 times/wk for 22 weeks at a Sports Centre in Madrid (Spain). All training sessions were carefully supervised by certified personal trainers. The exercise programs were designed taking into account each subject's muscle strength (MS) and the heart rate reserve (HRR). MS was measured using the 15-repetition maximum (15 RM) testing method [45] in the S and SE groups (both of which involved strength training). Both volume and intensity of exercise was increased over the study period (Figure 2) according to standard procedures [35,41].

---

**Figure 2 Time line of the Study.** S, strength training group; E, endurance training group; SE, combined strength plus endurance training group; C, physical activity recommendations group.

---

Prior to each training session each subject started with a 5-minute aerobic warm-up routine, followed by the session routine, and concluded with a 5-minute cool down and stretching exercises routine. Moreover, an MP3 audio track was played for each participant to set the execution rhythm of the exercises during all the sessions. In this case, the heart rate (HR) was monitored by a pulse-meter (Polar RS800CX, Polar Electro, Kempele, Finland). The cadence for the resistance exercises was fixed at 1:2 (concentric-eccentric phase). A session routine consisted in the execution on eight scheduled exercises in the case of group S (i.e., shoulder press, squat, barbell row, lateral split, bench press, front split, biceps curl and French press for triceps). Regarding types of exercises, running, cycling or elliptical (self-selected) exercises were the main components of the session routine for group E, while the routine for



the SE group consisted in a combination of cycle ergometry, treadmill or elliptical intercalated with squat, row machine, bench press and front split.

The volume and intensity of the three training programs increased progressively. During the adaptation period (cf. weeks 1–4) subjects were taught on the exercise technique. Between the fifth and eighth week, exercises were carried out at an intensity of 50% of 15RM and HRR, performing 2 laps to the circuit (cf. 51 minutes and 15 seconds), then between the 9<sup>th</sup> and 14<sup>th</sup> week the intensity was increased up to 60% of 15RM and HRR, and finally during the period between the 15<sup>th</sup> and 24<sup>th</sup> week the volume increased from 2 to 3 laps to the circuit (64 minutes). Then, a five-minute recovery period was set between the circuits. The S and SE participants performed 15 repetitions (45'') for each exercise with a rest period of 15 seconds between them.

### ***Diet intervention***

Hypocaloric diets (between 5028 and 12570 KJ) were prescribed individually for all participants by expert dieticians at the Department of Nutrition, La Paz University Hospital, Madrid. The diet was designed to provide 25–30% less energy than the daily energy expenditure (DEE), as measured using SenseWear Pro3 Armband™ (Body Media, Pittsburgh, PA). Approximately, 29–34% of the energy came from fat, 14–18% from protein, and 50–55% from carbohydrates [46]. In the obesity group, energy provided from protein was increased up to 14–20%.

### **Before-after measurements**

#### ***Physical fitness and health***

##### ***Maximal aerobic power test***

The test was conducted on a computerized treadmill (H/P/COSMOS 3P® 4.0, H/P/Cosmos Sports & Medical, Nussdorf-Traunstein, Germany). Peak oxygen uptake ( $\text{VO}_2$  peak) was measured with the modified Bruce protocol used elsewhere with overweight and obese population [35,47]. Volume and composition of expired gas was analysed with a gas analyzer Jaeger Oxycon Pro (Erich Jaeger, Viasys Healthcare, Germany) which validity and reliability has been demonstrated previously [48,49], and continuous 12-lead electrocardiographic monitoring. The exercise test was maintained until exhaustion. The mean of the three highest measurements was used as  $\text{VO}_2$  peak. Identical procedure was carried out to calculate the maximal HR.

##### ***Resting metabolic rate***

Subjects were asked to refrain from any exercise for at least 24 h before the test. To assess the resting metabolic rate (RMR), participants were cited between 7 am to 10 am, after a 9 h overnight fasted period. RMR was then measured by indirect calorimetry standing up (during 11 min) and in a lying position (additional 20 min). Oxygen uptake ( $\text{VO}_2$ ) and carbon dioxide production ( $\text{VCO}_2$ ) were recorded by a gas analyzer Jaeger Oxycon Pro (Erich Jaeger, Viasys Healthcare, Germany), and resting heart rate (RHR) was measured using a heart rate monitor (Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland). All these parameters were averaged during the last 5

min of the standing up position and the last 10 min of the lying position. Oxygen uptake and VCO<sub>2</sub> data were used to calculate RMR according to the formula of Weir [50].

### ***Maximal dynamic strength***

Muscle strength was measured with the 15RM testing method described elsewhere [51] for both strength training groups S and SE. The 15 RM for each exercise was tested twice on different days during the evaluation periods. The intraclass correlation coefficient of reliability for all the exercises was ICCr = 0,995 and ICCr = 0,994 for men and women, respectively. All the assessments, the data collection sessions and the previous trainings were carried out with the same machines and free weights (Johnson Health Tech. Iberica, Matrix, Spain).

### ***Static strength (dinamometry)***

The general strength index (SI) was calculated using previous criteria [52]. This method measures leg and arm strength with respect to body weight via two exercises: the bench press and squat. The dynamometric strength index (DSI) was calculated by measuring muscular strength using a Tecsymp Tkk5002 hand dynamometer and a Tecsymp Tkk5401 leg and back dynamometer (Tecsymp, Barcelona, Spain). The DSI value was calculated as the sum of the values obtained with both apparatuses divided by subject's body weight.

### ***Physical activity and daily energy expenditure***

Habitual physical activity (PA) was assessed with a SenseWear Pro3 Armband™ (Body Media, Pittsburgh, PA). Subjects were instructed to wear the monitor continuously for 5 days including weekend days and weekdays following general recommendations [53]. Data was recorded by 15 min intervals. DEE was calculated using the Body Media propriety algorithm (Innerview Research Software Version 6.0).

### ***Energy balance, adherence to diet and exercise***

All subjects were instructed to continue their habitual daily activities as before the intervention period. They were required to report the kind, duration, and intensity of any physical activity and the amount of any food undertaken during the intervention period.

At the beginning of the intervention, negative energy balance was calculated taking into account the DEE, and a 3-day food record, in order to decrease the energy intake of the diet by a 25-30% during the intervention.

In one hand, adherence to diet was calculated as the estimated Kcal of the diet divided by the real Kcal intake in percentage ( $[\text{estimated kcal of diet} / \text{real Kcal intake}] \cdot 100$ ), representing the highest adherence the value 100%. Higher values mean a higher restriction and viceversa. On the other hand, adherence to exercise was calculated by the number of sessions completed in regard to the theoretical sessions ( $[\text{sessions performed} / \text{total sessions}] \cdot 100$ ).

## ***Psychological and eating behavior assessment***

### ***Quality of life***

The impact of overweight and non-morbid obesity on Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQL) was assessed using two different questionnaires:

#### ***EUROQOL 5D (EQ-5D)***

The EQ-5D, is a self-administered questionnaire capable of generating a score reflecting a value associated with a given health status. The EQ-5D™ descriptive system consists of five dimensions: 1. Mobility; 2. Self-care; 3. Usual activities; 4. Pain/discomfort; 5. Anxiety/depression. Each dimension has three levels: “level 1: no health problems”, “level 2: moderate health problems” and “level 3: extreme health problems”. Each unique health state described by the instrument has an associated 5-digit descriptor ranging from 11111 for perfect health to 33333 for the worst possible state. The resulting descriptive system defines 243 health states. The instrument also includes a 20-centimeter visual analogue scale for the self-assessment of current general health [54].

Short Form-36 Health Survey (SF-36) version 1.4, valid for the Spanish population was used [55]. This questionnaire evaluates the individual health status in two dimensions: functional and emotional. Functional status is divided in 6 subscales: Physical Functioning (PF), Role-Physical (RP), Bodily Pain (BP), Vitality (VT), Social Functioning (SF) and Role-Emotional (RE). Emotional status includes Mental Health (MH) and General Health (GH) subscales. Scores on all subscales range from 0 to 100 (higher scores indicate better health status) [56].

### ***Eating behaviour***

At baseline and at the end of the intervention all food and beverages consumed by the participants were recorded using a food frequency questionnaire and a “3-day food and drink record”, validated for the Spanish population [57]. This recorded all food and drinks consumed at home and away for three days, including 2 weekdays and 1 weekend day. Subjects were instructed to record the weights of food consumed if possible and to use household measurements (spoonfuls, cups, etc.) if not. The energy and nutritional content of the food consumed was then calculated using the DIAL software (Alce Ingeniería, 2004). Then, the values obtained were compared to the recommended dietary intakes for the Spanish population to determine dietary adequacy [58].

## ***Body composition***

### ***Anthropometric measurements***

Body height was measured using a SECA stadiometer (range 80-200 cm, Valencia, Spain). Body weight (BW) was measured using a TANITA BC-420MA balance (Bio Lógica Tecnología Médica S.L, Barcelona, Spain). BMI was calculated as  $[\text{body weight (kg)} / (\text{height (m)})^2]$ . Waist circumference (WC) was measured using a SECA 201 steel tape (Quirumed, Valencia, Spain). Body fat percentage was measured using bioelectrical impedance analysis (BIA) with an OMRON BF 306® analyzer (OMRON HEALTHCARE Co., Ltd, Ukyo-ku, Kyoto, Japan).

### *Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry*

Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) was used to measure percentage of total fat mass (TFM%), percentage of android fat (AF%), the android gynoid ratio (A/GF) and lean mass (kg), using a GE Lunar Prodigy apparatus (GE Healthcare, Madison, Wisconsin, USA). All DXA scan analyses were performed at the Faculty of Physical Activity and Sport Sciences using GE Encore 2002 software v 6.10.029.

### *Nuclear magnetic resonance*

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (MRI) was used to study the proportion and location of the adipose tissue. The MRI study measured changes at different abdominal adipose tissue compartments: SAT (superficial and deep depots) and VAT (intraabdominal and retroperitoneal compartments). Images were obtained with a GE Medical Systems 1.5 Tesla whole body scanner using a T1-weighted fast-spin echo pulse sequence at the University Hospital *La Paz* Research Institute. The subjects were examined in supine position with arms positioned parallel along the lateral sides of the body. The subjects were imaged in two half-body volumes. A breath-hold sequence during expiration (approximately 20 seconds per acquisition) was used to minimize the effects of respiratory motion on the images. The total research time was about 5-10 min. All images were acquired on a 256x192 matrix, 16 bits/pixel, with a field of view = 480 mm. Slice thickness was 6 mm and contiguous slices were obtained every 1.5 mm from the first sacral vertebra upwards, until to achieve 30 images per person. For each subject six reference levels were studied, corresponding to intervertebral disc levels L5-S1 to L2-L3, at umbilical and at caudal left kidney positions. The acquired axial MR images were retrieved from the scanner using the DICOM® protocol and after were transferred to an external personal computer running Windows XP. We used specially designed image analysis software Stereonauta for quantitative analysis of the images.

### *Biochemical, metabolic, inflammatory and genetic profile*

#### *Biomarkers*

Blood samples were taken early in the morning at the La Paz University Hospital Extraction Unit after a 12 h overnight fast by venipuncture from the antecubital vein. Samples were kept at 4- 6°C until analysis, which was always performed within 48 h following standard hospital procedures. The following parameters were measured:

- Hematology was performed in a Pentra 120 DX cell counter (ABX-Horiba, Montpellier, France).
- Serum calcium, phosphorus, total proteins, albumin, creatinine, uric acid, bilirubin, lipase, alkaline phosphatase, creatinase, LDH, GOT and GPT were analyzed by enzymatic-spectrophotometric assay (Olympus AU 5400, Izasa, Porto, Portugal).
- Blood lipid profile (total cholesterol, HDL and LDL cholesterol, triglycerides) and glucose were analyzed by means of a enzymatic-spectrophotometric assay (Olympus AU 5400, Izasa, Porto, Portugal). Apo A and Apo B were determined using a BNII nephelometer (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics GmbH, Eschborn, Germany). Atherogenic risk ratios were calculated: total cholesterol/HDL, LDL/HDL and Apo B/Apo A1.
- Plasma fasting immunoreactive insulin was measured by enzymatic method in a fluoro-immunochemistry Analyzer (AIA-360, Tosoh Bioscience, Tessenderlo, Belgium). Insulin

sensitivity was estimated using the HOMA-IR index [HOMA-IR = fasting glucose (mmol/l)/fasting immunoreactive insulin (mU/ml)/22.5].

- Inflammatory biomarkers: Plasma C-reactive protein concentrations (CRP) were determined using a BNII nephelometer (Siemens Healthcare Diagnostics GmbH, Eschborn, Germany). Plasma fibrinogen was measured by coagulometric methods (ACL TOP 700, Izaasa, Porto, Portugal). Plasma leptin, IL-6 and TNF- $\alpha$  plasma concentrations were determined using a Luminex®-LX200 Analyzer (Millipore Corp, Billerica, Massachusetts, USA) and a MILLIPLEX MAP human circulating cancer biomarker magnetic bead panel (HCCBP1MAG-58 K) (Millipore, St. Charles, Missouri, USA). All samples were analyzed in duplicate. Data were analyzed using 3.1 xPONENT Software (Millipore, St. Charles, Missouri, USA). The intra and inter-assay coefficients of variation for the cytokine assays all fell in the 5-10% range.
- 25-hydroxyvitamin D was measured by chemiluminescent immunoassay using the LIAISON™ Analyzer family (Palex Medical, Barcelona, Spain).
- Serum TSH was measured by an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (ECLIA) by using an E170 analyser (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany).

### *Genetic profile*

We investigated the potential influence of different genetic single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), highly prevalent in the general population, on the response to different training protocols in overweight and obesity treatment. These SNPs are located in genes involved in metabolic pathways related to adiposity (Beta-Adrenergic and Leptin receptors, and Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor), and in genes involved in energy metabolism and the production of energy during physical activity (metabolism of lactate and fatty acids). In addition, we have studied the Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme (ACE) Insertion/Deletion (I/D) polymorphism, which has been associated with athletic performance and training adaptation. The potential role for most of the selected polymorphisms is well documented, both as overweight/obesity risk factors and as modulators for the response to different interventions.

With regard to the method used, we firstly reviewed published literature about the techniques for analyzing the polymorphisms [59-68]. Then, we adapted these protocols to the conditions in our laboratory. Whole blood (5 ml) from each patient was collected in EDTA and sent to the Metabolism, Genetics and Nutrition Research Group of the School of Medicine-IFIMAV, in Santander. DNA was extracted from each sample using the “QIAamp® DNA Blood Mini Kit” from QIAGEN (Hilden, Germany) and the genotyping was performed for each SNP afterwards. The DNA samples were preserved at -40°C. All subjects signed a specific informed consent which allows storage and genetic characterization in relation to the objectives of the PRONAF study by the Metabolism, Genetics and Nutrition Research Group of the IFIMAV following the protocols of confidentiality and clinical safety, ensuring the anonymity of the samples and their use for research only.

After selecting the most interesting SNPs for the project objectives, the analyses were performed using genotyping assays based on techniques of double PCR, PCR and RFLP or sequencing for Phase II, and real-time PCR (TaqMan® SNP Genotyping Assays, Applied Biosystem) for Phase III, which allows the semi-automation of these determinations. All these techniques proved to be reproducible and stable under the conditions of the study. The reliability of the techniques used for genotyping in the different phases of the study has been

proved using internal quality controls through different methods: repeated measurements of randomized samples, double-blinded reading of random samples, introduction of positive and negative controls, and random doubled testing of samples by both techniques. We obtained consistent results for any of the techniques used.

## **Assessment during the follow-up**

### ***Training control***

Feedbacks for training loads were done once a month with the Rate of Perceived Exertion (RPE) to subjectively evaluate each session and determine where the participant considered the intensity to be at, following a similar methodology previously used [69].

### ***Physical activity control***

PA was assessed as described above once a month. All subjects were instructed to continue their habitual daily activities as before the intervention period and were provided with a PA diary to log the type, duration, and intensity of any PA or exercise undertaken during the intervention.

### ***Body weight control***

BW was measured as described earlier every fifteen days at the Sports Center. Subjects included in group C used their own scales to monitor BW at home during the intervention.

### ***Dietary intake control***

A dietician interviewed each participant at baseline, and at 3 and 6 months after the start of the intervention. All subjects were instructed about how to record their dietary intake using a daily log, and given recommended portion sizes and information on possible food swaps. In addition, voluntary group nutrition education sessions were given by the dieticians. The goal was to equip the participants with the necessary knowledge and skills to achieve gradual, permanent behavioural changes.

## **Statistical analysis**

The main independent variables of the study were: intervention group, age, gender, measurement (baseline – post) and BMI classification (overweight or obese). The delta percentage was calculated through the standard formula:  $\text{change (\%)} = [(\text{post-test score} - \text{pre-test score}) / \text{pre-test score}] * 100$ . The power calculated previously to find significant differences between groups was 0.8 to fat mass (%).

Standard statistical methods will be used for the calculation of the means and standard deviation for all dependent variables. The statistical analysis will be as follows: 1) Univariate descriptive analysis, study of data distribution, basic statistics such as central and dispersion values. Pair comparison tests with previous analysis of the homogeneity of variance will be used. Pearson correlation coefficients, chi-squared tests and exact probability calculations will be also performed to study the relationship between quantitative and qualitative variables, respectively; 2) General lineal models, such a multivariate analysis (MANOVA) or

two way analysis of variance (ANOVA) for repeated measures, will be used to determine differences among the four interventions groups and between baseline and post-training values. Compound symmetry, or sphericity, will be verified by the Mauchly test. When the assumption of sphericity would not be met, the significance of F ratios will be adjusted according to the Greenhouse-Geisser procedure. Multiple comparisons of ANOVAs will be made with the Bonferroni post-hoc test.

The analysis of the data will be done using statistical package SPSS (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Illinois, USA). The significant level will be set at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

## **Assessment for quality control**

Previous to the start of the intervention we developed a protocol book with all the tests carried out in the pre and post intervention, plus the intervention procedures. An external observer followed the pilot study and his observations were included in the protocols. Weekly meetings during the pilot study were made with all the people involved in the project to train them and perform the protocol book.

At the end of the overweight intervention, the subjects filled in a satisfaction questionnaire. The results were included in the design of the obese intervention.

Finally, in order to clean the final data base of possible errors, a double data entry procedure was performed. Also an assessment of missing data and the identification of potential outliers were carried out.

## **Discussion**

The PRONAF study is the first randomized controlled intervention study performed in Spanish overweight and obese adults without any other associated disease with the aim of losing weight and improving several health-related parameters by means of combining caloric restriction and controlled training programs. One of the strengths of the PRONAF study is the multidisciplinary approach. A group of professionals and researchers with expertise in a specific field: physical activity and fitness, nutrition, body composition, genetics and biochemical markers have designed together the study protocols after an in-depth review of the state of the art in the literature [8-40]. Exercise protocols were tested in healthy subjects and study protocols harmonized in a pilot study [70,71].

The PRONAF study has both strengths and limitations. The main limitation is that the study did not include psychological control of the subjects. During the study and in the final questionnaire evaluation the subjects pointed out the need of some psychological support especially when the body weight changes were not so evident.

On the other hand, the PRONAF study has also several strengths: 1) the final sample size of our study was maintained according to the sample size estimation, keeping a low dropout rate similar to other studies [37,40,41]. It is important to note that there were no differences between groups in the number of dropouts. 2) During the training sessions the participants in the study were continuously monitored by certified trainers to ensure the correct execution of the exercises and the completion of each training session. 3) Inclusion of additional variables

as visceral fat, blood lipid profile, and genotyping broadens the dimension of the PRONAF study.

In summary, feasibility of the PRONAF protocol has been proven. Transfer of knowledge is foreseen as a spin-off, in order that overweight and obese subjects can benefit from the results. The aim is to transfer it to sports centers. Effectiveness on individual health-related parameter will be analyzed in forthcoming publications.

## Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Authors' contributions

All authors participated in the writing of the paper and provided comments on the drafts and approved the final version.

## Authors' information

PRONAF Study Group

Coordinator: Benito P.J.

Local clinical treatment teams and researchers (Principal Investigators are bolded, alphabetical order);

*Madrid UPM*: Alvarez-Sánchez M, Benito PJ, Bermejo M, Butragueño J, Calderón FJ, Cupeiro R, Díaz V, González-Gross M, Morencos E, Peinado AB, Rojo-Tirado MA, Romero B, Rossignoli I, Torres RM, Valtueña J, Zapico AG.

*Madrid IDIPAZ*: Bermejo LM, Fernández-Fernández C, Gómez-Candela C, Zurita, L.

*Santander HUMV*: Amigo T, García-Fuentes M, González- Lamuño D, Redondo C.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Rosa María Torres for her support of the field work and all the voluntary subjects for their participation.

### *Funding*

The PRONAF Study takes place with the financial support of the *Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Convocatoria de Ayudas I + D 2008, Proyectos de Investigación Fundamental No Orientada, del VI Plan de Investigación Nacional 2008–2011*, (Contract DEP2008-06354-C04-01). Morencos E, Romero B, Rojo-Tirado MA and Cupeiro R had financial support from Technical University of Madrid. Additional support from *Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación*, (Contract DEP2011-13140-E) was obtained.



## References

1. Berghofer A, Pischon T, Reinhold T, Apovian CM, Sharma AM, Willich SN: **Obesity prevalence from a European perspective: a systematic review.** *BMC Publ Health* 2008, **8**:200.
2. Bellido A, Soto JM, García Almeida M, de la López T: **Foro ACTUA II (abordaje y recomendaciones de actuación útil sobre el exceso de peso en atención primaria).** *Revista Española de Obesidad* 2008, **6**(4):175–197.
3. Salas-Salvadó J, Rubio MA, Barbany M, Moreno B, Aranceta J, Bellido D, Blay V, Carraro R, Formiguera X, Foz M, *et al*: **Consenso SEEDO 2007 para la evaluación del sobrepeso y la obesidad y el establecimiento de criterios de intervención terapéutica.** *Rev Esp Obes* 2007, **3**:7–48.
4. Gutin B: **Diet vs exercise for the prevention of pediatric obesity: the role of exercise.** *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2011, **35**:29–32.
5. Maziak W, Ward KD, Stockton MB: **Childhood obesity: are we missing the big picture?** *Obes Rev* 2008, **9**(1):35–42.
6. Wing RR, Lang W, Wadden TA, Safford M, Knowler WC, Bertoni AG, Hill JO, Brancati FL, Peters A, Wagenknecht L: **Benefits of modest weight loss in improving cardiovascular risk factors in overweight and obese individuals with type 2 diabetes.** *Diabetes Care* 2011, **34**(7):1481–1486.
7. Rodríguez A, López-Valcárcel BG: **El trasfondo económico de las intervenciones sanitarias en la prevención de la obesidad.** *Rev Esp Salud Publica* 2009, **83**:25–41.
8. Hunter GR, Wetzstein CJ, Fields DA, Brown A, Bamman MM: **Resistance training increases total energy expenditure and free-living physical activity in older adults.** *J Appl Physiol* 2000, **89**(3):977–984.
9. Poehlman ET, Dvorak RV, DeNino WF, Brochu M, Ades PA: **Effects of resistance training and endurance training on insulin sensitivity in nonobese, young women: a controlled randomized trial.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2000, **85**(7):2463–2468.
10. Ryan AS: **Insulin resistance with aging: effects of diet and exercise.** *Sports Med* 2000, **30**(5):327–346.
11. Castaneda C, Layne JE, Munoz-Orians L, Gordon PL, Walsmith J, Foldvari M, Roubenoff R, Tucker KL, Nelson ME: **A randomized controlled trial of resistance exercise training to improve glycemic control in older adults with type 2 diabetes.** *Diabetes Care* 2002, **25**(12):2335–2341.
12. Dunstan DW, Daly RM, Owen N, Jolley D, De Courten M, Shaw J, Zimmet P: **High-intensity resistance training improves glycemic control in older patients with type 2 diabetes.** *Diabetes Care* 2002, **25**(10):1729–1736.

13. Fahlman MM, Boardley D, Lambert CP, Flynn MG: **Effects of endurance training and resistance training on plasma lipoprotein profiles in elderly women.** *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2002, **57**(2):B54–B60.
14. Hunter GR, Bryan DR, Wetzstein CJ, Zuckerman PA, Bamman MM: **Resistance training and intra-abdominal adipose tissue in older men and women.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2002, **34**(6):1023–1028.
15. Janssen I, Fortier A, Hudson R, Ross R: **Effects of an energy-restrictive diet with or without exercise on abdominal fat, intermuscular fat, and metabolic risk factors in obese women.** *Diabetes Care* 2002, **25**(3):431–438.
16. Maiorana A, O'Driscoll G, Goodman C, Taylor R, Green D: **Combined aerobic and resistance exercise improves glycemic control and fitness in type 2 diabetes.** *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2002, **56**(2):115–123.
17. Poehlman ET, Denino WF, Beckett T, Kinaman KA, Dionne IJ, Dvorak R, Ades PA: **Effects of endurance and resistance training on total daily energy expenditure in young women: a controlled randomized trial.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2002, **87**(3):1004–1009.
18. Baldi JC, Snowling N: **Resistance training improves glycaemic control in obese type 2 diabetic men.** *Int J Sports Med* 2003, **24**(6):419–423.
19. Banz WJ, Maher MA, Thompson WG, Bassett DR, Moore W, Ashraf M, Keefer DJ, Zemel MB: **Effects of resistance versus aerobic training on coronary artery disease risk factors.** *Exp Biol Med (Maywood)* 2003, **228**(4):434–440.
20. Park SK, Park JH, Kwon YC, Kim HS, Yoon MS, Park HT: **The effect of combined aerobic and resistance exercise training on abdominal fat in obese middle-aged women.** *J Physiol Anthropol Appl Human Sci* 2003, **22**(3):129–135.
21. Reynolds TH, Supiano MA, Dengel DR: **Resistance training enhances insulin-mediated glucose disposal with minimal effect on the tumor necrosis factor-alpha system in older hypertensives.** *Metabolism* 2004, **53**(3):397–402.
22. Tokmakidis SP, Zois CE, Volaklis KA, Kotsa K, Touvra AM: **The effects of a combined strength and aerobic exercise program on glucose control and insulin action in women with type 2 diabetes.** *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2004, **92**(4–5):437–442.
23. Cauza E, Hanusch-Enserer U, Strasser B, Ludvik B, Metz-Schimmerl S, Pacini G, Wagner O, Georg P, Prager R, Kostner K, *et al*: **The relative benefits of endurance and strength training on the metabolic factors and muscle function of people with type 2 diabetes mellitus.** *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 2005, **86**(8):1527–1533.
24. Fatouros IG, Tournis S, Leontsini D, Jamurtas AZ, Sxina M, Thomakos P, Manousaki M, Douroudos I, Taxildaris K, Mitrakou A: **Leptin and adiponectin responses in overweight inactive elderly following resistance training and detraining are intensity related.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2005, **90**(11):5970–5977.

25. Ibanez J, Izquierdo M, Arguelles I, Forga L, Larrion JL, Garcia-Unciti M, Idoate F, Gorostiaga EM: **Twice-weekly progressive resistance training decreases abdominal fat and improves insulin sensitivity in older men with type 2 diabetes.** *Diabetes Care* 2005, **28**(3):662–667.
26. Kukkonen-Harjula KT, Borg PT, Nenonen AM, Fogelholm MG: **Effects of a weight maintenance program with or without exercise on the metabolic syndrome: a randomized trial in obese men.** *Prev Med* 2005, **41**(3–4):784–790.
27. Arciero PJ, Gentile CL, Martin-Pressman R, Ormsbee MJ, Everett M, Zwicky L, Steele CA: **Increased dietary protein and combined high intensity aerobic and resistance exercise improves body fat distribution and cardiovascular risk factors.** *Int J Sport Nutr Exerc Metab* 2006, **16**(4):373–392.
28. Fenkci S, Sarsan A, Rota S, Ardic F: **Effects of resistance or aerobic exercises on metabolic parameters in obese women who are not on a diet.** *Adv Ther* 2006, **23**(3):404–413.
29. Ferrara CM, Goldberg AP, Ortmeyer HK, Ryan AS: **Effects of aerobic and resistive exercise training on glucose disposal and skeletal muscle metabolism in older men.** *J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci* 2006, **61**(5):480–487.
30. Shaibi GQ, Cruz ML, Ball GD, Weigensberg MJ, Salem GJ, Crespo NC, Goran MI: **Effects of resistance training on insulin sensitivity in overweight latino adolescent males.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2006, **38**(7):1208–1215.
31. Brooks N, Layne JE, Gordon PL, Roubenoff R, Nelson ME, Castaneda-Sceppa C: **Strength training improves muscle quality and insulin sensitivity in hispanic older adults with type 2 diabetes.** *Int J Med Sci* 2007, **4**(1):19–27.
32. Olson TP, Dengel DR, Leon AS, Schmitz KH: **Changes in inflammatory biomarkers following one-year of moderate resistance training in overweight women.** *Int J Obes (Lond)* 2007, **31**(6):996–1003.
33. Sigal RJ, Kenny GP, Boule NG, Wells GA, Prud'homme D, Fortier M, Reid RD, Tulloch H, Coyle D, Phillips P, *et al*: **Effects of aerobic training, resistance training, or both on glycemic control in type 2 diabetes: a randomized trial.** *Ann Intern Med* 2007, **147**(6):357–369.
34. Camargo MD, Stein R, Ribeiro JP, Schvartzman PR, Rizzatti MO, Schaan BD: **Circuit weight training and cardiac morphology: a trial with magnetic resonance imaging.** *Br J Sports Med* 2008, **42**(2):141–145. discussion 145.
35. Hunter GR, Byrne NM, Sirikul B, Fernandez JR, Zuckerman PA, Darnell BE, Gower BA: **Resistance training conserves fat-free mass and resting energy expenditure following weight loss.** *Obesity (Silver Spring)* 2008, **16**(5):1045–1051.
36. Bouchard DR, Soucy L, Senechal M, Dionne IJ, Brochu M: **Impact of resistance training with or without caloric restriction on physical capacity in obese older women.** *Menopause* 2009, **16**(1):66–72.

37. Brochu M, Malita MF, Messier V, Doucet E, Strychar I, Lavoie JM, Prud'homme D, Rabasa-Lhoret R: **Resistance training does not contribute to improving the metabolic profile after a 6-month weight loss program in overweight and obese postmenopausal women.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2009, **94**(9):3226–3233.
38. Davidson LE, Hudson R, Kilpatrick K, Kuk JL, McMillan K, Janiszewski PM, Lee S, Lam M, Ross R: **Effects of exercise modality on insulin resistance and functional limitation in older adults: a randomized controlled trial.** *Arch Intern Med* 2009, **169**(2):122–131.
39. Kirk EP, Donnelly JE, Smith BK, Honas J, Lecheminant JD, Bailey BW, Jacobsen DJ, Washburn RA: **Minimal resistance training improves daily energy expenditure and fat oxidation.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2009, **41**(5):1122–1129.
40. Sillanpaa E, Laaksonen DE, Hakkinen A, Karavirta L, Jensen B, Kraemer WJ, Nyman K, Hakkinen K: **Body composition, fitness, and metabolic health during strength and endurance training and their combination in middle-aged and older women.** *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2009, **106**(2):285–296.
41. Del Corral P, Chandler-Laney PC, Casazza K, Gower BA, Hunter GR: **Effect of dietary adherence with or without exercise on weight loss: a mechanistic approach to a global problem.** *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2009, **94**(5):1602–1607.
42. Salas-Salvadó J, Rubio MA, Barbany M, Moreno B, Aranceta J, Bellido D, Blay V, Carraro R, Formiguera X, Foz M, *et al*: **Recomendaciones nutricionales basadas en la evidencia para la prevención y el tratamiento del sobrepeso y la obesidad en adultos.** *Rev Esp Obes* 2011, **9**(Suplemento):6–78.
43. Singhal A, Trilk JL, Jenkins NT, Bigelman KA, Cureton KJ: **Effect of intensity of resistance exercise on postprandial lipemia.** *J Appl Physiol* 2009, **106**(3):823–829.
44. Donnelly JE, Blair SN, Jakicic JM, Manore MM, Rankin JW, Smith BK: **American college of sports medicine position stand. Appropriate physical activity intervention strategies for weight loss and prevention of weight regain for adults.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2009, **41**(2):459–471.
45. Morgan BWS, Tiidus PM: **Aerobic energy expenditure during recreational weight training in females and males.** *J Sports Sci Med* 2003, **2**(1303–2968):117–122.
46. Sacks FM, Bray GA, Carey VJ, Smith SR, Ryan DH, Anton SD, McManus K, Champagne CM, Bishop LM, Laranjo N, *et al*: **Comparison of weight-loss diets with different compositions of fat, protein, and carbohydrates.** *N Engl J Med* 2009, **360**(9):859–873.
47. Ghroubi S, Elleuch H, Chikh T, Kaffel N, Abid M, Elleuch MH: **Physical training combined with dietary measures in the treatment of adult obesity. A comparison of two protocols.** *Ann Phys Rehabil Med* 2009, **52**(5):394–413.
48. Carter J, Jeukendrup AE: **Validity and reliability of three commercially available breath-by-breath respiratory systems.** *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2002, **86**(5):435–441.

49. Foss O, Hallen J: **Validity and stability of a computerized metabolic system with mixing chamber.** *Int J Sports Med* 2005, **26**(7):569–575.
50. Weir JB: **New methods for calculating metabolic rate with special reference to protein metabolism.** *J Physiol* 1949, **109**(1–2):1–9.
51. Morgan B, Woodruff SJ, Tiidus PM: **Aerobic energy expenditure during recreational weight training in females y males.** *J Sports Sci & Med* 2003, **2**:117–122.
52. Jurca R, Lamonte MJ, Church TS, Earnest CP, Fitzgerald SJ, Barlow CE, Jordan AN, Kampert JB, Blair SN: **Associations of muscle strength and fitness with metabolic syndrome in men.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2004, **36**(8):1301–1307.
53. Murphy SL: **Review of physical activity measurement using accelerometers in older adults: considerations for research design and conduct.** *Prev Med* 2009, **48**(2):108–114.
54. Brooks R: **EuroQol: the current state of play.** *Health Policy* 1996, **37**(1):53–72.
55. Alonso J, Prieto L, Anto JM: **The spanish version of the SF-36 health survey (the SF-36 health questionnaire): an instrument for measuring clinical results.** *Med Clin (Barc)* 1995, **104**(20):771–776.
56. McHorney CA, Ware JE Jr, Raczek AE: **The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36): II. Psychometric and clinical tests of validity in measuring physical and mental health constructs.** *Med Care* 1993, **31**(3):247–263.
57. Ortega R, Requejo A, Lopez-Sobaler A: **Questionnaires for dietetic studies and the assessment of nutritional status.** In *Nutriguía manual of clinical nutrition in primary care*. Edited by Requejo A, Ortega R. Madrid: Editorial Complutense; 2003:456–459.
58. Ortega R, Requejo A, Navia B, López-Sobaler A: **Recommended daily intakes of energy and nutrients for the spanish population.** In *Food composition A basic tool for assessing nutritional status*. Edited by RM O, López-Sobaler A, Requejo A, Andrés P. Madrid: Complutense; 2004:82–85.
59. Chung WK, Power-Kehoe L, Chua M, Chu F, Aronne L, Huma Z, Sothern M, Udall JN, Kahle B, Leibel RL: **Exonic and intronic sequence variation in the human leptin receptor gene (LEPR).** *Diabetes* 1997, **46**(9):1509–1511.
60. Clement K, Vaisse C, Manning BS, Basdevant A, Guy-Grand B, Ruiz J, Silver KD, Shuldiner AR, Froguel P, Strosberg AD: **Genetic variation in the beta 3-adrenergic receptor and an increased capacity to gain weight in patients with morbid obesity.** *N Engl J Med* 1995, **333**(6):352–354.
61. Cupeiro R, Benito PJ, Maffulli N, Calderon FJ, Gonzalez-Lamuno D: **MCT1 genetic polymorphism influence in high intensity circuit training: a pilot study.** *J Sci Med Sport* 2010, **13**(5):526–530.

62. Gotoda T, Manning BS, Goldstone AP, Imrie H, Evans AL, Strosberg AD, McKeigue PM, Scott J, Aitman TJ: **Leptin receptor gene variation and obesity: lack of association in a white british male population.** *Hum Mol Genet* 1997, **6**(6):869–876.
63. Hara K, Okada T, Tobe K, Yasuda K, Mori Y, Kadowaki H, Hagura R, Akanuma Y, Kimura S, Ito C, *et al*: **The Pro12Ala polymorphism in PPAR gamma2 may confer resistance to type 2 diabetes.** *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2000, **271**(1):212–216.
64. Hixson JE, Vernier DT: **Restriction isotyping of human apolipoprotein E by gene amplification and cleavage with HhaI.** *J Lipid Res* 1990, **31**(3):545–548.
65. Large V, Hellstrom L, Reynisdottir S, Lonnqvist F, Eriksson P, Lannfelt L, Arner P: **Human beta-2 adrenoceptor gene polymorphisms are highly frequent in obesity and associate with altered adipocyte beta-2 adrenoceptor function.** *J Clin Invest* 1997, **100**(12):3005–3013.
66. Lindpaintner K, Pfeffer MA, Kreutz R, Stampfer MJ, Grodstein F, LaMotte F, Buring J, Hennekens CH: **A prospective evaluation of an angiotensin-converting-enzyme gene polymorphism and the risk of ischemic heart disease.** *N Engl J Med* 1995, **332**(11):706–711.
67. Matsuoka N, Ogawa Y, Hosoda K, Matsuda J, Masuzaki H, Miyawaki T, Azuma N, Natsui K, Nishimura H, Yoshimasa Y, *et al*: **Human leptin receptor gene in obese japanese subjects: evidence against either obesity-causing mutations or association of sequence variants with obesity.** *Diabetologia* 1997, **40**(10):1204–1210.
68. Taroni F, Verderio E, Fiorucci S, Cavadini P, Finocchiaro G, Uziel G, Lamantea E, Gellera C, DiDonato S: **Molecular characterization of inherited carnitine palmitoyltransferase II deficiency.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1992, **89**(18):8429–8433.
69. Ball Geoff D, Crespo Noe C, Cruz Martha L, Goran Michael I, Salem George J, Shaibi Gabriel Q, Weigensberg Marc J: **Effects of resistance training on insulin sensitivity in overweight latino adolescent males.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2006, **38**(7):1208–1215.
70. Benito PJ, Neiva C, Gonzalez-Quijano PS, Cupeiro R, Morencos E, Peinado AB: **Validation of the sensewear armband in circuit resistance training with different loads.** *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2012, **112**(8):3155–3159.
71. Cupeiro R, González-Lamuño D, Amigo T, Peinado AB, Ruiz JR, Ortega FB, Benito PJ: **Influence of the MCT1-T1470A polymorphism (rs1049434) on blood lactate accumulation during different circuit weight trainings in men and women.** *J Sci Med Sport* 2012, **15**(6):541–547.




Figure 1

Testing week	Weeks 2-5 50% HRR and 15RM 51min15sec	Weeks 6-14 60% HRR and 15RM 51min15sec	Weeks 15-23 60% HRR and 15RM 60min	Testing week
--------------	---	--	--	--------------

**24 weeks for each group**

Baseline evaluation S	Supervised strength training and dietary intervention period			Post-training evaluation S
	Baseline evaluation SE	Supervised strength and endurance combined training and dietary intervention period		Post-training evaluation SE
		Baseline evaluation E	Supervised endurance training and dietary intervention period	Post-training evaluation E
			Baseline evaluation C	Physical activity recommendations and dietary intervention period
				Post-training evaluation C

Figure 2